

**CABHI Evaluation Report
2014 & 2015
Client Data Summary**

Submitted 30 March 2015

**Prepared for
Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health
Substance Abuse Prevent and Treatment Agency**

**Prepared by
Roseann Hogan, PhD, The Leading Edge Group
Al Stein-Seroussi, PhD, Pacific Institute for Research and Evaluation**

CABHI Evaluation Report Client Data Summary

Tables & Figures

Figure 1. 2014 Clients by Ethnic Identification

Figure 2. 2014 and 2015 Clients by Age Category

Table 1. 2014 Demographics Overall and by Site

Table 2. Comparison of Disparity Goals to
Program Demographics & PIT Census 2014 & 2015

Table 3. Family Composition: Children in the Household - 2014

Table 4. Prior Housing Status of 2014 and 2015 Clients

Table 5. Drug Use at Intake among 2014 Clients

Table 6. GPRA Outcomes: Intake to Six Month Follow Up - 2014

Table 7. Risky Behaviors Outcome - 2014

Appendix 1 – Follow Up Interview Information

Six Month CABHI Evaluation Report Client Data Summary

Executive Summary

Statistics for both FY 2014¹ and the 2015 year to date client population are provided in this report.

A total of 117 clients completed GPRA intakes in 2014, nearly meeting the 120 annual goal for the program overall despite not being in operation the full year. Given the later start of the programs, this number shows the need and demand for homeless services. Each of the sites nearly met or exceeded their target headcount numbers based on the GPRA system for fiscal year 2014. The data for FY 2015 year to date as of February 19, 2015, showed 51 intakes, on target to meet the 2015 goals as well. (Table 1)

Demographic characteristics of the 2014 client population show:

- 52.1% were white followed by African-American (12%)
- 10.3% were Hispanic/Latino
- 53% were male and 47% were female
- 3 Veterans were served
- 37.6% were aged 45-54 plus 22.2% 55-64
- Information on LGBTQ preference was not available

The demographics of the client population for both 2014 and 2015 were compared to the Disparity Statement goals and to two Nevada point-in-time homeless censuses. A comparison of these numbers with client demographics suggest a review of goals may be appropriate now that this information is available. (Table 2).

47% of the clients had children; 63.7% of those with children had either one or two. A total of 121 accompanied children thus were helped via the client who entered the program. (Table 3)

49.6% of the 2014 clients were living on the street at intake and 29.9% were living in a shelter. (Table 4)

According to the outcomes report of the 39 clients with both intake and follow-up information, only 2.6% had permanent housing at intake but by the time of their six month follow-up interview, 66.7% of those with follow up information had permanent housing. (Table 6)

Employment and educational status also showed a strong increase from 5.1% at intake to 28.2% six months later. (Table 6)

Abstinence from alcohol and illegal drugs increased from 26.3% to 50% six months after intake. (Table 6)

¹ Fiscal Year as defined in the GPRA system.

The overall six-month follow-up rate on February 19, 2015 was 55.7%, less than the 80% requirement.

Data Source Notes

Information for this report was derived solely from the old SAIS/GPRA system. Some figures were calculated from the data downloads and other tables were pulled directly from the on-demand reports provided by the system. Unless otherwise noted at the table footnote, the table was generated from the on-demand reports.

The information was generated the evening of 19 February 2015 and reflects information as of that date and time. Since this is a live system, numbers will vary from day to day. Going forward, the reports will be pulled on the last day of the month to ensure comparability. In addition, data files will be pulled the last day of each quarter to ensure we have as stable reporting base.

Information on Fiscal Year 2014 is provided in this report. In addition, Fiscal Year 2015 year-to-date information is provided where numbers allow. The numbers are based on the client intake information which for this analysis totaled 117 for 2014 and 51 for year to date 2015. In many cases, the numbers for 2015 were too small and so are not provided in this report. Finally, due to low follow-up rates, the outcomes table should not be taken to be reflective of all clients initially enrolled in the program.

Client Demographics

Gender Identification


In 2014, 53% were male clients and 47% female. In 2015, 64.7% were males and 35.3% females. No transgender individuals were reported in either year.

Race & Ethnic Identification

The majority of the 2014 clients were white, 52.1%, followed by African Americans at 12%. Almost a third (30.8%) of the clients in this year had no ethnic identification information recorded. For 2015 to date, the percentage of whites was higher at 68.6% and 11.8% were African American.

In addition, Latinos made up 10.3% (n=12) of the 2014 clients and 11.8% (n=6) in 2015.


Figure 1. 2014 Clients by Ethnic Identification



Age Category

In 2014, clients aged 45-54 made up the largest percentage (37.6%) followed by the 55-64 age category (22.2%). The 2015 population thus far is similar with the largest percent, 43.1% in the 45-54 age group followed by 17.6% in the 25 to 34 year old category.

Figure 2. 2014 and 2015 Clients by Age Category



Demographics by Site

Statistics for the program in 2014 overall show little variation across sites. The exception is that New Frontier reported no black clients and Help had a large percentage of people whose ethnic identification was not recorded.

The numbers of clients with veterans status was low across all sites with only 3 reported clients.

Table 1*
2014 Demographics Overall and by Site

Characteristic	Restart		Help		New Frontier		All Sites	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Annual Target	30		70		20		120	
Gender								
Male	15	45.5	38	57.6	9	50.0	62	53.0
Female	18	54.5	28	42.4	9	50.0	55	47.0
Total	33	100.0	66	100.0	18	100.0	117	100.0
Veteran								
Yes	2	6.1	0	0.0	1	5.6	3	2.6
No	31	93.9	66	100.0	17	94.4	114	97.4
Total	33	100.0	66	100.0	18	100.0	117	100.0
Ethnic Identification								
Black or African American	5	15.2	9	13.6	0	0.0	14	12.0
Native Hawaiian/ Pac Islander	0	0.0	1	1.5	0	0.0	1	0.9
White	24	72.7	23	34.8	14	77.8	61	52.1
Native American	1	3.0	1	1.5	3	16.7	5	4.3
None of the Above	3	9.1	32	48.5	1	5.6	36	30.8
Total	33	100.0	66	100.0	18	100.0	117	100.0
Hispanic/Latino?								
Yes	3	9.1	7	10.6	2	11.1	12.0	10.3
Age Group								
18-24	2	6.1	1	1.5	6	33.3	9	7.7
25-34	1	3.0	6	9.1	8	44.4	15	12.8
35-44	4	12.1	17	25.8	2	11.1	23	19.7
45-54	18	54.5	25	37.9	1	5.6	44	37.6
55-64	8	24.2	17	25.8	1	5.6	26	22.2
65+	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	33	100.0	66	100.0	18	100.0	117	100.0

**Generated from the downloaded GPRA data.*

Comparison of Demographics With Disparities Goals and Homeless Census

The demographics of clients served in 2014 were compared to the demographic goals set out in the December 2013 Health Disparities Impact Statement submitted to SAMSHA. The proposed numbers of clients were converted to percentages to allow for comparisons for progress to date.

Table 2 provides a comparison of the CABHI Disparities Statement targets, the actual clients served for 2014 and 2015. In addition, statistics from the Southern Nevada Homeless Point-in-Time Census report are also provided as a point of reference for the southern part of the state. The rural Nevada census for 2014 for the northern area is also provided.

By comparing the percentages of the goals to the actual clients, the correspondence of goals to actual can be determined.

In terms of age targets, the goals for 65 and older was set at 23.3% while there were no clients in this age range reported last year and 2 for 2015 to date. The Southern Nevada census indicates about 8.2% homeless over age 65. The national statistics indicate about 3.5% of sheltered homeless are over the age of 62.² In summary, clients served more closely represent the Nevada census numbers suggesting that the target of 23% should be revisited.

Comparison of the ethnicity of client targets versus those served and the census proves slightly problematic for a couple of reasons: first, the targets included Latino as a race category and second, there was a large number of missing or 'none of the above' answers to this question. However, the information suggests that there may be an under-representation among clients of black and Latino heritage and it may be helpful to revisit the ethnic targets.

² 2013. U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development. HMIS. The 2013 Annual Homeless Assessment Report to Congress. Characteristics of Sheltered Homelessness. p. 1-8.

**Table 2. Comparison of Disparity Goals
to Program Demographics & 2014 PIT Census
FY 2014 & 2015 Year to Date**

	ANNUAL		ACTUAL ³			So NV 2014 Homeless Census ⁵		Rural NV 2014 Homeless	
	Disparity Goals ⁴		2014		2015 YTD	PIT CENSUS		Street CENSUS ⁶	
	Nos.	%	N=117	%	n=51		%	%	
Age						Age		Age	
18-24	16	13.3	9	7.7	11.8	18-21	9.7	18-24	7.0
25-44	40	33.3	38	32.5	27.4	22-40	25.4	25-59	
45-64	36	30.0	70	59.8	58.8	41-60	55.8		
65-74	15	12.5	0	0.0	2	61+	8.7	60+	6.7
75+	13	10.8	0	0.0	0				
Totals	120		117		100				
Ethnic Identification									
African American	9	10.2	14	12.0	11.8		39.4		
Native American	1	1.1	5	4.3	5.9		1.6		
Asian	8	9.1	0	0.0	2		2.5		
White	63	71.6	61	52.1	68.6		47.3		94⁷
Native Hawaii/Pacific Isl.	1	1.1	1	0.9	0		1.1		
Multi-Racial	6	6.8		0.0	2		9.2		
None of Above			36	30.8	9.8				
	88	100.0	117	100.0	100.1		100.0		
Hispanic?									
Hispanic/Latino	32	26.7	12	10.3	11.8		30.0		8.0
	120								
Gender									
Male	56	46.7	62	53.0	64.7		71.4		83.0
Female	64	53.3	55	47.0	35.3		27.8		17.0
Transgender							0.8		0.0
	120	100.0	117	100.0	100		100.0		100.0
Veterans			3	2.6	5.9		17.0		5.7

³ Generated from the GPRA system download.

⁴ 2013. Nevada Division of Public and Behavioral Health. SAMSHA Cooperative Agreement to Benefit Homeless Individuals-States Health Disparities Impact Statement.

⁵ 2014. Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition et al. Help Hope Home: 2014 Southern Nevada Homeless Census and Survey. Unpublished report generated by BitFocus.

⁶ 2014. Rural Nevada Continuum of Care. A Study of Homeless in Rural Counties in Nevada. 2014 Point in Time Report. Unpublished report.

⁷ Not all information was available for comparisons.

Almost half of the 2014 clients, 47% were female in 2014 while most studies, including the southern Nevada census, indicate that men make up a higher percentage of the street homeless (71.4%) than do women (27.8%).

Veterans served by the CABHI programs totaled 3 in 2014 (2.6%) and 6 (5.9%) in 2015. In 2013, the national HUD point in time homeless assessment found that 12% of the homeless, sheltered and unsheltered, were veterans.⁸

Client & Family Characteristics at Intake

Household Composition

Almost half of the clients served by the CABHI program had children, most with more than one child. Based on these numbers, the program served a total of 121 children.

Table 3. Family Composition: Children in the Household - 2014

Do You Have Children?	No.	%
Yes	55	47.0
No	42	35.9
Refused	2	1.7
Missing Data	18	15.4
Total	117	100.0
How Many Children?		
1	20	36.4
2	15	27.3
3	10	18.2
4	1	1.8
5	2	3.6
6	2	3.6
7	1	1.8
8	1	1.8
Missing Data	3	5.5
Total	55	100.0

⁸ 2013. U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development. The 2013 Annual Homeless Assessment Report to congress, p. 38.

Housing Status Upon Entry into the Program

Table 4 shows the housing status of 2014 and 2015 clients in the 30 days prior to entering the program. In 2014, almost a third were in a shelter and almost half on the street. Of those who were housed, the largest percentage (66.7%) were in residential treatment.

Clients in 2015 are following the same pattern as in the previous year. Over a third were sheltered and 43.1% on the street at the time of intake.

Table 4. Prior Housing Status of 2014 and 2015 Clients

Living Arrangement	2014		2015	
	No.	%	No.	%
Shelter	35	29.9	18	35.3
Street/Outdoors	58	49.6	22	43.1
Institution	2	1.7	0	0.0
Housed	21	17.9	11	21.6
Missing Data	1	0.9	0	0.0
Total	117	100.0	51	100.0
Housing (Among those Housed)	No.	%	No.	%
Own, rent apartment, room or home	1	4.8	1	9.1
Someone else's apartment	3	14.3	1	9.1
Halfway House	1	4.8	0	0.0
Residential treatment	14	66.7	4	36.4
Other housed	2	9.5	5	45.5
Total	21	100.0	11	100.0

Drug Use at Intake

Based on the 117 intakes included in the GPRA system, Table 5 shows the incidence of drug use among clients upon entry into the program listed in order of incidence. In 2014, the highest rates were alcohol, marijuana, meth/amphetamines and cocaine.

Drug use among the 2015 clients who reported substance use is based on 51 intakes. While there were more heroin users in this year's client population, the remaining drugs of choice are the same: alcohol, marijuana, meth and cocaine.

Table 5. Drug Use at Intake among 2014 & 2015 Clients

Drug	2014		2015	
	No.	% Used	No.	% Used
Any Alcohol	57	48.7%	23	45.1%
Marijuana/Hashish	25	21.4%	10	19.6%
Methamphetamine or other amphetamines (Meth, Uppers, Speed, Ice, Chalk, Crystal, Glass, Fire, Crank)	16	13.7%	7	13.7%
Cocaine/Crank	15	12.8%	6	11.8%
Benzodiazepines: Diazepam (Valium); Alprazolam (Xanax); Triazolam (Halcion); and Estazolam (Prosom and Rohypnol-also known as Roofies, Roche and Cope)	5	4.3%	4	7.8%
Heroin (Smack, H, Junk, Skag)	2	1.7%	3	5.9%
Morphine	2	1.7%	2	3.9%
Percocet	2	1.7%	1	2.0%
Other Illegal Drugs	2	1.7%	1	2.0%
Codeine	1	0.9%	1	2.0%
Tylenol 2,3,4	1	0.9%	1	2.0%
Oxycontin/Oxycodone	1	0.9%	1	2.0%
Non-prescription methadone	1	0.9%	1	2.0%
Hallucinogens/psychedelics, PCP (Angel Dust), Ozone, Wack, Rocket Fuel), MDMA (Ecstasy, XTC, X, Adam), LSD (Acid, Boomers, Yellow Sunshine), Mushrooms or Mescaline	1	0.9%	1	2.0%
Other tranquilizers, downers, sedatives or hypnotics	1	0.9%	1	2.0%

Client Outcomes

Key GPRA outcome measures for the 2014 clients are shown in Table 6 below. Of the 117 intakes received in 2014, there were 39 with six month follow-up interviews although 70 were due at the time the report was pulled from the system. The basis for comparison thus includes only those who had a follow-up. Due to low follow-up rates, the outcomes table should not be taken to be reflective of all clients and likely reflects a bias toward more successful, continuing clients.

Table 6. GPRA Outcomes - Intake to Six Month Follow-up - 2014

GPRA Outcomes Measures	No. Valid Cases	% at Intake	% at 6 Month Follow-up	Difference
Employed/Education: were currently employed or attending school	39	5.1	28.2	23.1
Stability in Housing: had a permanent place to live in the community.	39	2.6	66.7	64.1
Crime & Criminal Justice: had no past 30 day arrests	39	92.3	94.9	2.6
Health/Behavioral/Social Consequences: experienced no social consequences	38	60.5	84.2	23.7
Abstinence: did not use alcohol or illegal drugs	38	26.3	50.0	23.7
Social Connectedness: were socially connected	39	87.2	84.6	-2.6

The greatest positive gain was made in housing, where 2.6% had a permanent place to live at intake but 66.7% did at the time of their six month follow-up. Employment/education status, lack of consequences for behaviors and abstinence also showed healthy increases.

Some emphasis should be placed on obtaining follow-up interviews to bring the current follow-up rate of 55.7% to the standard of 80%. Appendix 1 provides a list of clients whose follow-ups were due before the GPRA system shut down. Naturally, given the fact that the GPRA system has not been available, time will be needed to bring the follow-up rate into compliance.

Table 7. Risky Behaviors Outcomes - 2014

GPRA Risky Behavior Outcomes	No. Valid Cases	% at Intake	% at 6 Month Follow-up	Difference
Injection drug use: injected illegal drugs	40	0.0	0.0	0.0
Had unprotected sexual contact	5	40.0	60.0	20.0

No injected drug use was reported among the 2014 clients for whom there was follow-up data. Among the 40 clients with follow-ups, five reported risky sexual contact at intake and three reported the behavior at follow-up. The remaining risky behaviors normally included in these outcomes included only one baseline client so the information is not included here.

Missing 6 Month Follow Up Report

Grants TI025345 - Cooperative Agreements to Benefit Homeless Individuals for States
 FFY All FFY

FFY Quarter

Clients Currently Due for 6-Month Follow-Up Interview but Interview has not been Conducted

GFA	Grant ID	Client ID	Intake Interview Date	Earliest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Latest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up
CABHI-States	TI025345	TI025345-01	Apr 7, 2014	Sep 7, 2014	Dec 7, 2014
		TI025345-06	Apr 9, 2014	Sep 9, 2014	Dec 9, 2014
		TI025345-08	Apr 10, 2014	Sep 10, 2014	Dec 10, 2014
		TI025345-18	May 7, 2014	Oct 7, 2014	Jan 7, 2015
		TI025345-21	May 15, 2014	Oct 15, 2014	Jan 15, 2015
		TI025345-22	May 27, 2014	Oct 27, 2014	Jan 27, 2015
		TI025345-23	May 22, 2014	Oct 22, 2014	Jan 22, 2015
		TI025345-25	May 15, 2014	Oct 15, 2014	Jan 15, 2015
		TI025345-35	Jun 18, 2014	Nov 18, 2014	Feb 18, 2015
		TI025345-36	Jun 16, 2014	Nov 16, 2014	Feb 16, 2015
		TI025345-37	Jun 19, 2014	Nov 19, 2014	Feb 19, 2015
		TI025345-41	Jun 24, 2014	Nov 24, 2014	Feb 24, 2015
		TI025345-42	Jun 24, 2014	Nov 24, 2014	Feb 24, 2015
		TI025345-44	Jun 25, 2014	Nov 25, 2014	Feb 25, 2015

Clients Currently Due for 6-Month Follow-Up Interview and Follow-Up Interview has been Conducted

GFA	Grant ID	Client ID	INTAKE_DATE	Earliest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Latest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Follow-Up Status
CABHI-States	TI025345	23777	Jun 27, 2014	Nov 27, 2014	Feb 27, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		48718	May 1, 2014	Oct 1, 2014	Jan 1, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		73259	May 1, 2014	Oct 1, 2014	Jan 1, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		94161	Jun 30, 2014	Nov 30, 2014	Feb 28, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		95130	Apr 16, 2014	Sep 16, 2014	Dec 16, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		97801	Jun 24, 2014	Nov 24, 2014	Feb 24, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		97993	May 27, 2014	Oct 27, 2014	Jan 27, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-02	Apr 7, 2014	Sep 7, 2014	Dec 7, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-03	Apr 7, 2014	Sep 7, 2014	Dec 7, 2014	Completed interview outside specified window
		TI025345-04	Apr 8, 2014	Sep 8, 2014	Dec 8, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-05	Apr 8, 2014	Sep 8, 2014	Dec 8, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-07	Apr 9, 2014	Sep 9, 2014	Dec 9, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-09	Apr 10, 2014	Sep 10, 2014	Dec 10, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-10	Apr 7, 2014	Sep 7, 2014	Dec 7, 2014	Completed interview within

Missing 6 Month Follow Up Report

Grants TI025345 - Cooperative Agreements to Benefit Homeless Individuals for States
 FFY All FFY

FFY Quarter

Clients Currently Due for 6-Month Follow-Up Interview and Follow-Up Interview has been Conducted						
GFA	Grant ID	Client ID	INTAKE_DATE	Earliest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Latest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Follow-Up Status
CABHI-States	TI025345					specified window
		TI025345-11	Apr 24, 2014	Sep 24, 2014	Dec 24, 2014	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-12	May 7, 2014	Oct 7, 2014	Jan 7, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-13	Jun 12, 2014	Nov 12, 2014	Feb 12, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-14	Jun 10, 2014	Nov 10, 2014	Feb 10, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-15	May 13, 2014	Oct 13, 2014	Jan 13, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-16	May 13, 2014	Oct 13, 2014	Jan 13, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-17	Jun 12, 2014	Nov 12, 2014	Feb 12, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-19	May 6, 2014	Oct 6, 2014	Jan 6, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-20	May 20, 2014	Oct 20, 2014	Jan 20, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-24	Jun 16, 2014	Nov 16, 2014	Feb 16, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-26	Jun 2, 2014	Nov 2, 2014	Feb 2, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-27	Jun 16, 2014	Nov 16, 2014	Feb 16, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-28	May 22, 2014	Oct 22, 2014	Jan 22, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-29	Jun 16, 2014	Nov 16, 2014	Feb 16, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-30	Jun 16, 2014	Nov 16, 2014	Feb 16, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-31	Jun 10, 2014	Nov 10, 2014	Feb 10, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-32	May 20, 2014	Oct 20, 2014	Jan 20, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-33	Jun 24, 2014	Nov 24, 2014	Feb 24, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
		TI025345-34	Jun 18, 2014	Nov 18, 2014	Feb 18, 2015	Completed interview within specified window
TI025345-38	Jun 19, 2014	Nov 19, 2014	Feb 19, 2015	Completed interview within specified window		
TI025345-39	Jun 19, 2014	Nov 19, 2014	Feb 19, 2015	Completed interview within specified window		
TI025345-43	Jun 25, 2014	Nov 25, 2014	Feb 25, 2015	Completed interview within specified window		
TI025345-45	Jun 25, 2014	Nov 25, 2014	Feb 25, 2015	Completed interview within specified window		

Missing 6 Month Follow Up Report

Grants TI025345 - Cooperative Agreements to Benefit Homeless Individuals for States
 FFY All FFY

FFY Quarter

Clients Currently Due for 6-Month Follow-Up Interview and Follow-Up Interview has been Conducted						
GFA	Grant ID	Client ID	INTAKE_DATE	Earliest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Latest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Follow-Up Status
CABHI-States	TI025345	TI025345-46	Jun 25, 2014	Nov 25, 2014	Feb 25, 2015	Completed interview within specified window

Clients Currently Due for 6-Month Follow-Up Interview but Follow-Up Status Indicates Client is Unavailable for Interview						
GFA	Grant ID	Client ID	Intake Date	Earliest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Latest Date of Eligibility for Follow-Up	Follow-Up Status
CABHI-States	TI025345	81079	Jul 1, 2014	Dec 1, 2014	Mar 1, 2015	Located, but withdrawn from project
		83253	May 5, 2014	Oct 5, 2014	Jan 5, 2015	Unable to locate, other
		86920	Apr 16, 2014	Sep 16, 2014	Dec 16, 2014	Located, but withdrawn from project
		90073	Apr 16, 2014	Sep 16, 2014	Dec 16, 2014	Unable to locate, other
		94038	May 9, 2014	Oct 9, 2014	Jan 9, 2015	Unable to locate, other
		94707	Apr 16, 2014	Sep 16, 2014	Dec 16, 2014	Unable to locate, other
		95229	Apr 16, 2014	Sep 16, 2014	Dec 16, 2014	Unable to locate, other

Frequency Report

All GFA Programs (Combined)

Grant No: All

FFY: 14 Quarter: All

Selected Interview Type: Intake (All Matched and Unmatched)

Selected Sub-population Group: None

Question: A - Length of stay in program?

Length of Stay	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
0-30 days	4	3.4 %	3.4 %
31-90 days	19	16.2 %	16.2 %
91-120 days	9	7.7 %	7.7 %
121+ days	19	16.2 %	16.2 %
Remains in program	66	56.4 %	56.4 %
Total	117	100% *	100% *

Question: A - 5. Are you a veteran?

Veteran	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
Did not serve in the military	114	97.4 %	97.4 %
Yes, is a veteran	3	2.6 %	2.6 %
Total	117	100% *	100% *

Frequency Report

All GFA Programs (Combined)

Grant No: All

FFY: 14 Quarter: All

Selected Interview Type: Intake (All Matched and Unmatched)

Selected Sub-population Group: None

Question: A - Planned Services

Service Type	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
Case Management	110	5.9 %	5.9 %
Day Treatment	11	0.6 %	0.6 %
Inpatient/Hospital (Other Than Detox)	10	0.5 %	0.5 %
Outpatient	47	2.5 %	2.5 %
Outreach	93	5.0 %	5.0 %
Intensive Outpatient	34	1.8 %	1.8 %
Methadone	1	0.1 %	0.1 %
Residential/Rehabilitation	31	1.7 %	1.7 %
Hospital Inpatient	1	0.1 %	0.1 %
After Care	29	1.5 %	1.5 %
Recovery Support	81	4.3 %	4.3 %
Other Modalities	30	1.6 %	1.6 %
Screening	114	6.1 %	6.1 %
Brief Intervention	3	0.2 %	0.2 %
Brief Treatment	2	0.1 %	0.1 %
Referral to Treatment	44	2.4 %	2.4 %
Assessment	108	5.8 %	5.8 %
Treatment/Recovery Planning	100	5.3 %	5.3 %
Individual Counseling	46	2.5 %	2.5 %
Group Counseling	85	4.5 %	4.5 %
Co-Occurring Treatment/Recovery Services	76	4.1 %	4.1 %
Pharmacological Interventions	1	0.1 %	0.1 %
Other Clinical Services	4	0.2 %	0.2 %
Family Services	2	0.1 %	0.1 %
Pre-Employment	19	1.0 %	1.0 %
Employment Coaching	10	0.5 %	0.5 %
Individual Services Coordination	36	1.9 %	1.9 %
Transportation	77	4.1 %	4.1 %

Frequency Report

All GFA Programs (Combined)

Grant No: All

FFY: 14 Quarter: All

Selected Interview Type: Intake (All Matched and Unmatched)

Selected Sub-population Group: None

Question: A - Planned Services

Service Type	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
HIV/AIDS Service	5	0.3 %	0.3 %
Other Case Management Services	30	1.6 %	1.6 %
Medical Care	13	0.7 %	0.7 %
Alcohol/Drug Testing	78	4.2 %	4.2 %
HIV/AIDS Medical Support & Testing	3	0.2 %	0.2 %
Other Medical Services	2	0.1 %	0.1 %
Continuing Care	27	1.4 %	1.4 %
Relapse Prevention	74	4.0 %	4.0 %
Recovery Coaching	5	0.3 %	0.3 %
Self-Help And Support Groups	53	2.8 %	2.8 %
Spiritual Support	20	1.1 %	1.1 %
Substance Abuse Education	109	5.8 %	5.8 %
HIV/AIDS Education	24	1.3 %	1.3 %
Peer Coaching Or Mentoring	46	2.5 %	2.5 %
Housing Support	76	4.1 %	4.1 %
Alcohol-And Drug-Free Social Activities	53	2.8 %	2.8 %
Information And Referral	43	2.3 %	2.3 %
Other Peer-To-Peer Recovery Support Services	5	0.3 %	0.3 %
Total	1,871	100% *	100% **

Frequency Report

All GFA Programs (Combined)

Grant No: All

FFY: 14 Quarter: All

Selected Interview Type: Intake (All Matched and Unmatched)

Selected Sub-population Group: None

Question: B - 1. During the past 30 days how many days have you used the following:

b1. Alcohol to intoxication (5+ drinks in one sitting).

# of days	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
0	18	15.4 %	40.0 %
1	5	4.3 %	11.1 %
2	5	4.3 %	11.1 %
4	1	0.9 %	2.2 %
5	3	2.6 %	6.7 %
10	2	1.7 %	4.4 %
15	2	1.7 %	4.4 %
16	1	0.9 %	2.2 %
18	2	1.7 %	4.4 %
20	2	1.7 %	4.4 %
30	4	3.4 %	8.9 %
REFUSED	3	2.6 %	--
DON'T KNOW	2	1.7 %	--
MISSING DATA	28	23.9 %	--
Not Applicable	39	33.3 %	--
Total	117	100% *	100% *
Average # days for drug users (# days > 0)	11		

Question: B - 3. In the past 30 days have you injected drugs?

Inject drugs	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
Yes	4	3.4 %	4.3 %
No	89	76.1 %	95.7 %
REFUSED	1	0.9 %	--
DON'T KNOW	2	1.7 %	--
MISSING DATA	21	17.9 %	--
Total	117	100% *	100% *

Frequency Report

All GFA Programs (Combined)

Grant No: All

FFY: 14 Quarter: All

Selected Interview Type: Intake (All Matched and Unmatched)

Selected Sub-population Group: None

Question: C - 1. In the past 30 days, where have you been living most of the time?

Living	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
Shelter	35	29.9 %	30.2 %
Street/Outdoors	58	49.6 %	50.0 %
Institution	2	1.7 %	1.7 %
Housed	21	17.9 %	18.1 %
MISSING DATA	1	0.9 %	--
Total	117	100% *	100% *

Question: C - 1. In the past 30 days, where have you been living most of the time?

If you have been living in house, where have you been living in most of the time?

Housed	Frequency	Rate	Valid Rate
Own/rent apartment, room, or house	1	0.9 %	4.8 %
Someone else's apartment, room or house	3	2.6 %	14.3 %
Halfway house	1	0.9 %	4.8 %
Residential treatment	14	12.0 %	66.7 %
Other housed	2	1.7 %	9.5 %
Not Applicable	96	82.1 %	--
Total	117	100% *	100% *

* Please note that due to rounding and single precision the sum or percentages for each question may not total to 100.